I am not in favor of relaxing the broadcast ownership rules. I have found the increasing concentration thus far detrimental to my ability to enjoy diversity in both programming and sharing ideas.

Living in the Phoenix meetro area has allowed me to see that having a major TV station (KPNX channel 12) and newspaper (Arizona Republic) owned by the same corporation has the public seeing the same stories told by the same people everywhere we are most likely to turn. This hurts our ability to share ideas in the way that Americans have historically been able to do.

We have the same problem with the co-ownership of TV station KNXV channel 15 and the East Valley Tribune newspaper. And yet again with with KPHO channel 5 constantly encouraging us with editorial time to seek out more information in their publication "Better Homes and Gardens" owned by the same company (Meredith Broadcasting)

Where is the diversity and new points of view in all this? I don't see it and I encourage you to not vote for any rule that allows increasing concentration of media ownership.

Thank you.

```
----= NextPart 000 0005 01C326B3.DF4EF100
Content-Type: text/html;
      charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META content=3D"text/html; charset=3Diso-8859-1"
http-equiv=3DContent-Type>
<META content=3D"MSHTML 5.00.2314.1000" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bqColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT size=3D2><CODE class=3Dred>ECFS - Email
Filing<BR>&lt;PROCEEDING&gt;</CODE> 02-277<BR><CODE
class=3Dred><DATE&qt;</CODE> 05/30/2003<BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><NAME&qt;</CODE>
Blaine Nelson<br/>
BR><CODE class=3Dred>&lt;ADDRESS1&gt;</CODE> 865 W 10th
Place<BR><CODE class=3Dred>&lt;ADDRESS2&gt;</CODE> <BR><CODE
class=3Dred><CITY&gt;</CODE> Mesa<BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><STATE&gt;</CODE>
AZ<BR><CODE class=3Dred>&lt;ZIP&gt;</CODE> 85201</FONT></DIV>
<DIV><FONT size=3D2><CODE class=3Dred>&lt;LAW-FIRM&gt;</CODE><BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><ATTORNEY&qt;</CODE> <BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><FILE-NUMBER&gt;</CODE>
<BR><CODE class=3Dred>&lt;DOCUMENT-TYPE&gt;</CODE> RC<BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><PHONE-NUMBER&qt;</CODE> 480-844-2067<BR><CODE
class=3Dred><DESCRIPTION&gt;</CODE> Email-Comment<BR><CODE</pre>
class=3Dred><CONTACT-EMAIL&gt;</CODE> <A</pre>
href=3D"mailto:bnelson@cableaz.com">bnelson@cableaz.com</A><BR><CODE
class=3Dred><TEXT&qt;</CODE> </FONT><FONT size=3D2>I am not in favor
of relaxing
the broadcast ownership rules.   I have found the increasing
```

concentration

thus far detrimental to my ability to enjoy diversity in both programming and

sharing ideas. </DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Living in the Phoenix meetro area has allowed me to see that

having a major TV station (KPNX channel 12) and newspaper (Arizona Republic)

owned by the same corporation has the public seeing the same stories told by the

same people everywhere we are most likely to turn. Enbsp; This hurts our ability

to share ideas in the way that Americans have historically been able to do. $\mbox{</PONT></DIV>}$

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>We have the same problem with the co-ownership of TV station

KNXV channel 15 and the East Valley Tribune newspaper. And yet again with

with KPHO channel 5 constantly encouraging us with editorial time to seek out

more information in their publication "Better Homes and Gardens" owned by the

same company (Meredith Broadcasting)</DIV>

<DIV> </DIV>

<DIV>Where is the diversity and new points of view in all

this? I don't see it and I encourage you to not vote for any rule that

<DIV>Thank you.
</BODY></HTML>

-----=_NextPart_000_0005_01C326B3.DF4EF100--